

**North Carolina Transmission Planning Collaborative
Oversight / Steering Committee (OSC)
Meeting Highlights
March 30, 2006
Conference Call
10:00 AM EPT**

Attendees:

**Clay Norris, Chair
Art Hubert
Janice Carney
Andy Fusco
Mark Byrd
Verne Ingersoll
Nina McLaurin
John Dalton
Ed Ernst
Bob Pierce
David Beam
Rich Wodyka
Pam Kozlowski**

**ElectriCities
ElectriCities
ElectriCities
ElectriCities
Progress Energy
Progress Energy
Progress Energy
Duke Power
Duke Power
Duke Power
NCEMC
Gestalt
Gestalt**

1. ADMINISTRATIVE

A. The OSC Chair called the meeting to order at 10:00 am. Mr. Norris requested revisions or additions to the published agenda. None were made.

B. Meeting Minutes and Highlights

The Committee reviewed the draft highlights from the March 7, 2006 OSC meeting. Ms. McLaurin requested revisions to the highlights. Mr. Beam made a motion to approve as revised and Mr. Ingersoll seconded the motion. In a unanimous voice vote, the March 7, 2006 highlights were approved as revised.

C. Treasurers Report

At the March 7, 2006 meeting, Mr. Dalton advised the committee that the current account balance should be sufficient to cover expenses only through approximately the next three months. The committee discussed the need for additional payment from the entities. The OSC agreed to have Duke calculate the amount of expected expenses for the remainder of the calendar year and to propose an invoice amount at the March 30 meeting that would cover those anticipated expenses with payments due in May.

After paying the Feb invoice, the fund balance is just under \$100,000. Based on the average monthly expenses, that equates to approximately three months. Duke

recommends billing \$270,000 to the users group, to be paid in May, which breaks out as follows:

Duke: \$90k
Progress: \$90k
NCEMC: \$45k
NCEC: \$45k

This allocation should carry the fund through the end of 2006 and leave a balance of approximately \$100,000.

A motion was made by Mr. Byrd to approve this proposed allocation and seconded by Mr. Beam. In a unanimous voice vote, proposed allocations were approved.

2. PWG STATUS REPORT

A. Reliability Study Update

At the March 21, 2006 PWG meeting, the PWG members discussed the reliability study cases that would be necessary to conduct the analysis of the various scenarios that were discussed at the March 7, 2006 OSC meeting. The direction from the OSC meeting was for each IOU to run studies taking into account the impact of unit maintenance outages from the adjacent control area as well as the various import requests. The PWG concluded that more than 100 different cases would be required to complete this analysis. Because of the magnitude of the number of required cases, the PWG is advocating running base-case scenarios with adjacent control area units out but without import considerations first. This approach should require running approximately 10 cases and the results of the runs should be available to present to the OSC at the April 27 meeting. The PWG will use the results of the base-case runs to assist them in determining the import studies to undertake as the next step. The plan is for the PWG to breakdown the requested studies into smaller blocks of studies and report on the results of each block to the OSC.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:55 am.